

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LAMAR JORDAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

O. NORRIS, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 1:20-cv-00467-NONE-EPG (PC)

ORDER REQUIRING PARTIES TO
EXCHANGE DOCUMENTS

ORDER REQUIRING DEFENSE COUNSEL
AND PLAINTIFF TO CONFER RE:
WHETHER PLAINTIFF RECEIVED
DEFENDANTS' INITIAL DISCLOSURES

Lamar Jordan ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, which includes state law claims.

On November 25, 2020, the Court issued an order requiring the parties to file scheduling conference statements. (ECF No. 25). The parties have now filed their statements. (ECF Nos. 31 & 33).

The Court has reviewed this case and the parties' statements. In an effort to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive disposition of this action,¹ the Court will direct that certain documents that are central to the dispute be promptly produced.²

¹ See, e.g., *United States v. W.R. Grace*, 526 F.3d 499, 508–09 (9th Cir. 2008) ("We begin with the principle that the district court is charged with effectuating the speedy and orderly administration of justice. There is universal acceptance in the federal courts that, in carrying out this mandate, a district court has the authority to enter pretrial case management and discovery orders designed to ensure that the relevant issues to be tried are identified, that the parties have an opportunity to engage in appropriate discovery and that the parties are adequately and timely prepared so that the trial can proceed efficiently and intelligibly.").

² Advisory Committee Notes to 1993 Amendment to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure regarding Rule 26(a) ("The enumeration in Rule 26(a) of items to be disclosed does not prevent a court from requiring by order or local rule that the parties disclose additional information without a discovery request.").

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Each party has sixty days from the date of service of this order to serve opposing parties, or their counsel, if represented, with copies of the following documents and/or evidence that they have in their possession, custody, or control, to the extent the parties have not already done so:³
 - a. Documents regarding exhaustion of Plaintiff's state law claims.
 - b. All of Plaintiff's medical records related to the incident and/or condition at issue in the case.
2. If any party obtains documents and/or other evidence described above later in the case from a third party, that party shall provide all other parties with copies the documents and/or evidence within thirty days.
3. Parties do not need to produce documents they have already produced or documents that were provided to them by the opposing party.
4. Parties may object to producing any of the above-listed documents and/or evidence. Objections shall be filed with the Court and served on all other parties within sixty days from the date of service of this order (or within thirty days of receiving additional documents and/or evidence). The objection should include the basis for not providing the documents and/or evidence. If Defendant(s) object based on the official information privilege, Defendant(s) shall follow the procedures described in the Court's scheduling order. If a party files an objection, all other parties have fourteen days from the date the objection is filed to file a response. If any party files a response to an objection, the Court will issue a ruling on the objection.

Additionally, as Plaintiff has indicated that he did not receive a copy of Defendants' initial disclosures (ECF No. 33, p. 1), IT IS ORDERED that defense counsel shall confer with Plaintiff regarding whether Plaintiff received Defendants' initial disclosures. If Plaintiff did

³ Defense counsel is requested to obtain these documents from Plaintiff's institution(s) of confinement. If defense counsel is unable to do so, defense counsel should inform Plaintiff that a third party subpoena is required.

1 not, defense counsel shall promptly send Plaintiff another copy of Defendants' initial
2 disclosures.

3
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: **March 1, 2021**

/s/ Eric P. Grogan
6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28